

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/980,150           | 08/05/2002                     | Gregory S. Keller    | 10592-023US1        | 9420             |
| 26211<br>FISH & RICH | 7590 07/07/2009<br>ARDSON P.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 1022        |                                |                      | AZPURU, CARLOS A    |                  |
| MINNEAPOL            | IS, MN 55440-1022              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                                |                      | 1615                |                  |
|                      |                                |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |                                |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                                |                      | 07/07/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |   |
|------------------|---------------|---|
|                  |               |   |
|                  |               |   |
| 09/980,150       | KELLER ET AL. |   |
| Examiner         | Art Unit      |   |
| Examiner         | Ait oill      |   |
|                  |               |   |
| Carlos A. Azpuru | 1615          | I |
|                  |               |   |

|  | Carlos A. Azpuru  | 1615  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the co                                     | rrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply filed 19 June 2009 is acknowledged.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent<br/>Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a.  The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of<br>any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a<br>dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>b.          The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.     </li> <li>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b),<br/>41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in resp<br>includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41<br>response to a remand by the Board of Patent<br>(37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent<br>rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).  | .39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex<br>Appeals and Interferences for furl | aminer's answer written in<br>ther consideration of rejection |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. 🔀 The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. ☑ Other: Applicant's arguments concerning the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over DE 197 16 098 is persuasive and this rejection is withdrawn. The rejection under 35 USC 103(a) over Hansbrough et al. is maintained. While applicant centers the response on the keratinocytes included, the reference also discusses the inclusion of fibroblasts in the composition disclosed. These arguments do not address any unusual and/or unexpected showing over the method used by the reference. |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

/Carlos A. Azpuru/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615